

1 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP
2 DANIEL J. FURNISS (State Bar No. 73531)
3 IAN L. SAFFER (admitted *pro hac vice*)
4 TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
5 379 Lytton Avenue
Palo Alto, California 94301
Telephone: (650) 326-2400
Facsimile: (650) 326-2422

6 CHAD R. WALSH (State Bar No. 214290)
7 FOUNTAINHEAD LAW GROUP
900 Lafayette Street, Suite 509
8 Santa Clara, CA 95050
Telephone: (408) 244-6319

9
10 Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant
BUSINESS OBJECTS DATA INTEGRATION, INC.

11
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

15
16 INFORMATICA CORPORATION,
a Delaware corporation,

17 Plaintiff,

18 v.
19 BUSINESS OBJECTS DATA
20 INTEGRATION, INC., formerly known as
ACTA TECHNOLOGY, INC.,
a Delaware corporation,

22 Defendant.

23 Case No. C 02 3378 JSW

24 **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER ON DISCOVERY DATES**

25
26
27
28 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
On November 29, 2005 Business Objects Data Integration, Inc. ("BODI") contacted counsel for Informatica Corp. ("Informatica") and requested a stipulation to extend the time to comply with Local Patent Rules 3-6(a) and 3-8(a) and (b). Informatica did not oppose granting an extension provided that doing so would permit adequate time for remaining discovery in the case and not significantly impact pretrial dates. The parties have negotiated a schedule which they believe achieves this and respectfully request the Court adopt it as set forth below.

In accordance with Local Civil Rule 6-2(a), attached hereto is a Declaration of Daniel J. Furniss setting forth (i) the reasons for the requested enlargement, (ii) a disclosure of all previous modifications in the case, and (iii) a description of the effect of the requested time modification on the schedule for the case.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties, through their respective counsel that:

Event	Date
The last day for BODI to comply with Patent Local Rule 3-6(b) and serve Final Invalidity Contentions:	January 31, 2006
The last day for BODI to comply with Patent Local Rule 3-8(a) and (b)	January 31, 2006
Last day to serve fact discovery	Feb. 27, 2006
Parties to comply with Rule 26(a)(2)(A) (witnesses providing expert opinions)	April. 3, 2006
Parties to comply with Rule 26(a)(2)(B) (expert reports) with respect to issues on which that party bears the burden of proof	April. 10, 2006
Parties to comply with Rule 26(a)(2)(B) (expert reports) in rebuttal/opposition with respect to issues on which the other party bears the burden of proof	May. 3, 2006
Discovery cutoff	May. 22, 2006
Dispositive motions filed	June 16, 2006
Hearing on dispositive motions at 9:00 a.m.	August 4, July 28, 2006

The Case Management Conference currently scheduled for June 23, 2006 is HEREBY CONTINUED to August 4, 2006 to immediately follow the hearing on the parties' dispositive motions. If neither party files a dispositive motion, the Court will schedule a further case management conference as necessary.

1
2 DATED: December 9, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

3
4
5 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP

6
7 By: /s/ Daniel J. Furniss /
8
9 Daniel J. Furniss
10 Attorney for Defendant
11 BUSINESS OBJECTS DATA INTEGRATION,
12 INC.

13 DATED: December 9, 2005

14 FENWICK AND WEST, LLP

15
16 By: /s/ Darren Donnelly /
17 Darren Donnelly
18 Attorneys for Plaintiff
19 INFORMATICA CORPORATION

20 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: December 14, 2005

22 By: *Jeffrey S. White*
23 HONORABLE JEFFREY S. WHITE
24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

25
26
27 60654942 v1
28